

REPARAMETRIZATIONS OF VECTOR FIELDS AND THEIR SHIFT MAPS

SERGIY MAKSYMENKO

ABSTRACT. Let M be a smooth manifold, F be a smooth vector field on M , and (\mathbf{F}_t) be the local flow of F . Denote by $Sh(F)$ the subset of $C^\infty(M, M)$ consisting of maps $h : M \rightarrow M$ of the following form:

$$h(x) = \mathbf{F}_{\alpha(x)}(x),$$

where α runs over all smooth functions $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which can be substituted into \mathbf{F} instead of t . This space often contains the identity component of the group of diffeomorphisms preserving orbits of F . In this note it is shown that $Sh(F)$ is not changed under reparametrizations of F , that is for any smooth strictly positive function $\mu : M \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ we have that $Sh(F) = Sh(\mu F)$. As an application it is proved that F can be reparametrized to induce a circle action on M if and only if there exists a smooth function $\mu : M \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ such that $\mathbf{F}(x, \mu(x)) \equiv x$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M be a smooth manifold and F be a smooth vector field on M tangent to ∂M . For each $x \in M$ its *integral trajectory* with respect to F is a unique mapping $o_x : \mathbb{R} \supset (a_x, b_x) \rightarrow M$ such that $o_x(0) = x$ and $\frac{d}{dt}o_x = F(o_x)$, where $(a_x, b_x) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is the maximal interval on which a map with the previous two properties can be defined. The image of o_x will be denoted by the same symbol o_x and also called the *orbit* of x . It follows that from standard theorems in ODE the following subset of $M \times \mathbb{R}$

$$\text{dom}(\mathbf{F}) = \bigcup_{x \in M} x \times (a_x, b_x),$$

is an open, connected neighbourhood of $M \times 0$ in $M \times \mathbb{R}$. Then the *local flow* of F is the following map

$$\mathbf{F} : M \times \mathbb{R} \supset \text{dom}(\mathbf{F}) \rightarrow M, \quad \mathbf{F}(x, t) = \mathbf{F}_x(t).$$

It is well known that if M is compact, or F has compact support, then \mathbf{F} is defined on all of M .

Denote by $\text{func}(F) \subset C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ the subset consisting of functions $\alpha : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose graph $\Gamma_\alpha = \{(x, \alpha(x)) : x \in M\}$ is contained in

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37C10, 37C27, 37C55.

Key words and phrases. Reparametrization of a flow, shift map, circle action.

$\text{dom}(\mathbf{F})$. Then we can define the following map

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) \supset \text{func}(F) &\longrightarrow C^\infty(M, M), \\ \varphi(\alpha)(x) &= \mathbf{F}(x, \alpha(x)).\end{aligned}$$

This map will be called the *shift map* along orbits of F and its image in $C^\infty(M, M)$ will be denoted by $Sh(F)$.

It is easy to see, [1, Lm. 2], that φ is $S^{r,r}$ -continuous for all $r \geq 0$, that is continuous between the corresponding S^r Whitney topologies of $\text{func}(F)$ and $C^\infty(M, M)$.

Moreover, if the set Σ_F of singular points of F is nowhere dense, then φ is locally injective, [1, Pr. 14]. Therefore it is natural to know whether it is a homeomorphism with respect to some Whitney topologies, and, in particular, whether it is $S^{r,s}$ -open, i.e. open as a map from S^r topology of $\text{func}(F)$ into S^s topology of the image $Sh(F)$, for some $r, s \geq 0$. These problems and their applications were treated e.g. in [1, 2, 3].

In this note we prove the following theorems describing the behaviour of the image of shift maps under reparametrizations and pushforwards.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any smooth function and $G = \mu F$ be the vector field obtained by the multiplication F by μ . Then*

$$(1) \quad Sh(G) \subset Sh(F).$$

Suppose that $\mu \neq 0$ on all of M . Then

$$Sh(\mu F) = Sh(F).$$

In this case the shift mapping $\varphi : \text{func}(F) \rightarrow Sh(F)$ of F is $S^{r,s}$ -open for some $r, s \geq 0$, if and only if so is the shift mapping $\psi : \text{func}(G) \rightarrow Sh(G)$ of G .

Theorem 1.2. *Let $z \in M$, $\alpha : (M, z) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a germ of smooth function at z , and $f : M \rightarrow M$ be a germ of smooth map defined by $f(x) = \mathbf{F}(x, \alpha(x))$. Suppose that f is a germ of diffeomorphism at z . Then*

$$(2) \quad f_*F = (1 + F(\alpha)) \cdot F,$$

*where $f_*F = Tf \circ F \circ f^{-1}$ is the vector field induced by f , and $F(\alpha)$ is the derivative of α along F . Thus f_*F is just a reparametrization of F .*

If $\alpha : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined on all of M and $f = \varphi(\alpha)$ is a diffeomorphism of M , then

$$Sh(f_*F) = Sh(F).$$

Further in §3 we will apply these results to circle actions. In particular, we prove that F can be reparametrized to induce a circle action on M if and only if there exists a smooth function $\mu : M \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ such that $\mathbf{F}(x, \mu(x)) \equiv x$, see Corollary 3.3.

2. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

These theorems are based on the following well-known statement, see e.g. [7, 5, 4] for its variants in the category of measurable maps.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $G = \mu F$ and $\mathbf{G} : \text{dom}(\mathbf{G}) \rightarrow M$ be the local flow of G . Then there exists a smooth function $\alpha : \text{dom}(\mathbf{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\mathbf{G}(x, s) = \mathbf{F}(x, \alpha(x, s)).$$

In fact,

$$(3) \quad \alpha(x, s) = \int_0^s \mu(\mathbf{G}(x, \tau)) d\tau.$$

In particular, for each $\gamma \in \text{func}(G)$ we have that

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{G}(x, \gamma(x)) = \mathbf{F}(x, \alpha(x, \gamma(x))),$$

whence $Sh(G) \subset Sh(F)$.

Proof. Put $\mathcal{G}(x, s) = \mathbf{F}(x, \alpha(x, s))$, where α is defined by (3). We have to show that $\mathbf{G} = \mathcal{G}$.

Notice that a flow \mathbf{G} of a vector field G is a *unique* mapping that satisfies the following ODE with initial condition:

$$\left. \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}(x, s)}{\partial s} \right|_{s=0} = G(x) = F(x)\mu(x), \quad \mathbf{G}(x, 0) = x.$$

Notice that

$$\alpha(x, 0) = 0, \quad \alpha'_s(x, 0) = \mu(\mathbf{G}(x, 0)) = \mu(x).$$

In particular, $\mathcal{G}(x, 0) = \mathbf{F}(x, \alpha(x, 0)) = x$. Therefore it remains to verify that

$$(5) \quad \left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}(x, s)}{\partial s} \right|_{s=0} = F(x) \cdot \mu(x).$$

We have:

$$(6) \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}}{\partial s}(x, s) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial s}(x, \alpha(x, s)) = \left. \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}(x, t)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=\alpha(x, s)} \cdot \alpha'_s(x, s).$$

Substituting $s = 0$ in (6) we get (5). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Eq. (1) is established in Lemma 2.1.

Suppose that $\mu \neq 0$ on all of M . Then $F = \frac{1}{\mu}G$, and $\frac{1}{\mu}$ is smooth on all of M . Hence again by Lemma 2.1 $Sh(F) \subset Sh(G)$, and thus $Sh(F) = Sh(G)$.

To prove the last statement define a map $\xi : \text{func}(G) \rightarrow \text{func}(F)$ by

$$\xi(\gamma)(x) = \alpha(x, \gamma(x)) = \int_0^s \mu(\mathbf{G}(x, \tau)) d\tau, \quad \gamma \in \text{func}(G).$$

Then (4) means that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{func}(G) & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \mathbf{func}(F) \\ \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ Sh(G) & \xlongequal{\quad} & Sh(F) \end{array}$$

We claim that ξ is a homeomorphism with respect to \mathbf{S}^r topologies for all $r \geq 0$. Indeed, evidently ξ is $\mathbf{S}^{r,r}$ -continuous. Put

$$(7) \quad \beta(x, s) = \int_0^s \frac{d\tau}{\mu(\mathbf{F}(x, \tau))}.$$

Then the inverse map $\xi^{-1} : \mathbf{func}(F) \rightarrow \mathbf{func}(G)$ is given by

$$(8) \quad \xi^{-1}(\delta)(x) = \beta(x, \delta(x)) = \int_0^{\delta(x)} \frac{d\tau}{\mu(\mathbf{F}(x, \tau))}, \quad \delta \in \mathbf{func}(F),$$

and is also $\mathbf{S}^{r,r}$ -continuous. Hence ψ is $\mathbf{S}^{r,s}$ -open iff so is φ . Theorem 1.1 is completed.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. First we reduce the situation to the case $\alpha(z) = 0$. Suppose that $a = \alpha(z) \neq 0$ and let $\beta(x) = \alpha(x) - a$. Define the following germ of diffeomorphisms $g = \mathbf{F}_{-a} \circ f$ at z :

$$g(x) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{F}(x, \alpha(x)), -a) = \mathbf{F}(x, \alpha(x) - a) = \mathbf{F}(x, \beta(x)).$$

Then $g(z) = z$, and $\beta(z) = 0$.

Since \mathbf{F} preserves F , i.e. $(\mathbf{F}_t)_* F = F$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain that

$$f_* F = f_*(\mathbf{F}_{-a})_* F = (f \circ \mathbf{F}_{-a})_* F = g_* F.$$

Moreover, $F(\alpha) = F(\beta)$. Therefore it suffices to prove our statement for g .

If z is a singular point of F , i.e. $F = 0$, then both parts of (2) vanish. Therefore we can assume that z is a regular point of F . Then there are local coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_n) at $z = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in which $F(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$ and

$$\mathbf{F}(x_1, \dots, x_n, t) = (x_1 + t, x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

Then $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1 + \beta(x), x_2, \dots, x_n)$, whence

$$\begin{aligned} Tg \circ F \circ g^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \beta'_{x_1} & \beta'_{x_2} & \cdots & \beta'_{x_n} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \\ 0 \\ \cdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= (1 + \beta'_{x_1})F = (1 + F(\beta))F. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that α is defined on all of M and f is a diffeomorphism of all of M . Then by [1] the function $\mu = 1 + F(\alpha) \neq 0$ on all of M , whence by Theorem 1.1 $Sh(\mu F) = Sh(F)$.

3. PERIODIC SHIFT MAPS

Let F be a vector field, and φ be its shift map. The set

$$\ker(\varphi) = \varphi^{-1}(\text{id}_M)$$

will be called the *kernel* of φ , thus $\mathbf{F}(x, \nu(x)) \equiv x$ for all $\nu \in \ker(\varphi)$. Evidently, $0 \in \ker(\varphi)$. Moreover, it is shown in [1, Lm. 5] that $\varphi(\alpha) = \varphi(\beta)$ iff $\alpha - \beta \in \text{func}(F)$.

Suppose that the set Σ_F of singular points of F is nowhere dense in M . Then, [1, Th. 12 & Pr. 14], φ is a locally injective map with respect to any weak or strong topologies, and we have the following two possibilities for $\ker(\varphi)$:

a) **Non-periodic case:** $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$, so $\varphi : \text{func}(F) \rightarrow Sh(F)$ is a bijection.

b) **Periodic case:** there exists a smooth strictly positive function

$$\theta : M \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$$

such that $\mathbf{F}(x, \theta(x)) \equiv x$ and $\ker(\varphi) = \{n\theta\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

In this case $\text{func}(F) = C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, φ yields a bijection between $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})/\ker(\varphi)$ and $Sh(F)$, and for every $\alpha \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ we have that

$$\varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi(\alpha) = \alpha + \ker(\varphi) = \{\alpha + k\theta\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

It also follows that every non-singular point x of F is periodic of some period $\text{Per}(x)$,

$$\theta(x) = n_x \text{Per}(x)$$

for some $n_x \in \mathbb{N}$, and in particular, θ is constant along orbits of F . We will call θ the *period function* for φ .

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that the shift map φ of F is periodic and let θ be its period function. Let also $\mu : M \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ be any smooth strictly positive function. Put $G = \mu F$. Then the shift map ψ of G is also periodic, and its period function is*

$$(9) \quad \bar{\theta}(x) \stackrel{(8)}{=} \xi^{-1}(\theta)(x) = \beta(x, \theta(x)) = \int_0^{\theta(x)} \frac{d\tau}{\mu(\mathbf{F}(x, \tau))}.$$

If μ is constant along orbits of F , then the last formula reduces to the following one:

$$(10) \quad \bar{\theta} = \frac{\theta}{\mu}.$$

In particular, for the vector field $G = \theta F$ its period function is equal to $\bar{\theta} \equiv 1$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{G} : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ be the flow of G . We have to show that $\mathbf{G}(x, \bar{\theta}(x)) \equiv x$ for all $x \in M$:

$$(11) \quad \mathbf{G}(x, \bar{\theta}(x)) \stackrel{(9)}{=} \mathbf{G}(x, \beta(x, \theta(x))) = \mathbf{F}(x, \theta(x)) \equiv x.$$

Since θ is the *minimal* positive function for which $\mathbf{F}(x, \theta(x)) \equiv x$ and $\mu > 0$, it follows from (9) that so is $\bar{\theta}$ is also the minimal positive function for which (11) holds true. Hence $\bar{\theta}$ is the period function for the shift map of G .

Let us prove (10). Since μ is constant along orbits of F , we have that $\mu(\mathbf{F}(x, \tau)) = \mu(x)$, whence

$$\bar{\theta}(x) = \beta(x, \theta(x)) = \int_0^{\theta(x)} \frac{d\tau}{\mu(\mathbf{F}(x, \tau))} = \int_0^{\theta(x)} \frac{d\tau}{\mu(x)} = \frac{\theta(x)}{\mu(x)}.$$

Lemma is proved. \square

3.2. Circle actions. Regard S^1 as the group $U(1)$ of complex numbers with norm 1, and let $\exp : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1$ be the exponential map defined by $\exp(t) = e^{2\pi it}$.

Let $\Gamma : M \times S^1 \rightarrow M$ be a smooth action of S^1 on M . Then it yields a smooth \mathbb{R} -cation (or a flow) $\mathbf{G} : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ given by

$$(12) \quad \mathbf{G}(x, t) = \Gamma(x, \exp(t)).$$

Moreover \mathbf{G} is generated by the following vector field

$$G(x) = \left. \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}(x, t)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0}.$$

Evidently, any of Γ , \mathbf{G} , and G determines two others. In particular, a flow \mathbf{G} on M is of the form (12) for some smooth circle action Γ on M if and only if $\mathbf{G}_1 = \text{id}_M$, i.e. $\mathbf{G}(x, 1) \equiv x$ for all $x \in M$.

In other words, the shift map of \mathbf{G} is periodic and its period function is the constant function $\theta \equiv 1$.

As a consequence of Lemma 3.1 we get the following:

Corollary 3.3. *Let F be a smooth vector field on M and*

$$\theta : M \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$$

be a smooth strictly positive function. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) *the vector field $G = \theta F$ yields a smooth circle action, i.e. $\mathbf{G}(x, 1) = x$ for all $x \in M$;*
- (b) *the shift map φ of F is periodic and θ is its period function, i.e. $\mathbf{F}(x, \theta(x)) \equiv x$ for all $x \in M$.*

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose that the shift map φ of F is periodic and let $z \in M$ be a singular point of F . Then there are $k, l \geq 0$ such that $2k + l = \dim M$, non-zero numbers $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, local coordinates $(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k, t_1, \dots, t_l)$ at $z = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2k+l}$, and in which*

the linear part of F at 0 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} j_0^1 F(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k, t_1, \dots, t_l) = & -A_1 y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + A_1 x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + \dots \\ & -A_k y_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + A_k x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let θ be the period function for F and $G = \theta F$. Since $\theta > 0$, it follows that $\Sigma_F = \Sigma_G$ and for every $z \in \Sigma_F$ we have that

$$j_z^1 G = \theta(z) \cdot j_z^1 F.$$

Therefore it suffices to prove our statement for G .

By Corollary 3.3 G yields a circle action, i.e. $\mathbf{G}_1 = \text{id}_M$, where \mathbf{G} is the flow of G . Then \mathbf{G} yields a linear flow $T_z \mathbf{G}_t$ on the tangent space $T_z M$ such that $T_z \mathbf{G}_1 = \text{id}$. In other words we obtain a linear action (i.e. representation) of the circle group $U(1)$ in the finite-dimensional vector space $T_z M$. Now the result follows from standard theorems about presentations of $U(1)$. \square

Remark 3.5. Suppose that in Corollary 3.4 $\dim M = 2$. Then we can choose local coordinates (x, y) at $z = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ in which

$$j_0^1 F(x, y) = -y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

For this case the normal forms of such vector fields are described in [6].

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Maksymenko, *Smooth shifts along trajectories of flows*, Topol. Appl., **130** (2003) 183–204, [arXiv:math/0106199](#).
- [2] S. Maksymenko, *Homotopy types of stabilizers and orbits of Morse functions on surfaces*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom., **29** no. 3 (2006) 241–285, [arXiv:math/0310067](#).
- [3] S. Maksymenko, *Local inverses of shift maps along orbits of flows*, submitted, [arXiv:0806.1502](#).
- [4] D. S. Ornstein, M. Smorodinsky, *Continuous speed changes for flows*, Israel J. Math. **31** no. 2 (1978) 161–168.
- [5] W. Parry, *Cocycles and velocity changes*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **5** (1972), 511–516.
- [6] F. Takens, *Normal forms for certain singularities of vectorfields*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **23** no. 2 (1973) 163–195.
- [7] H. Totoki, *Time changes of flows*, Memoirs Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A. **20.1** (1966) 27–55.

TOPOLOGY DEPT., INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF NAS OF UKRAINE, TERESHCHENKIVSKA ST. 3, KYIV, 01601 UKRAINE

E-mail address: maks@imath.kiev.ua